

## **Mean Reverting Behavior of Energy Consumption: Evidence from Selected MENA Countries**

**Suleyman Bolat**

Cumhuriyet University, Department of Public Finance,  
58140, Sivas, Turkey. Tel: +90 346 219 10 10 - 2402  
Email: bolatsuleyman80@gmail.com

**Murat Belke**

Akdeniz University, Department of Economics,  
07058, Antalya, Turkey. Email: muratbelke@akdeniz.edu.tr

**Necati Celik**

University of Utah, Department of Economics,  
84112-9300, Salt Lake City, UT, USA.  
Email: necati.celik@economics.utah.edu

**ABSTRACT:** This study examines whether the energy consumption for 15 members of the Middle Eastern & North African (MENA) countries is a stationary process over 1971-2010 period. Annual energy consumption data for Algeria, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates and Turkey, is analyzed using the Lagrange Multiplier (LM) unit root test, in which structural breaks in level and/or trend are endogenously determined. The results of the LM test with two breaks showed that the energy consumption per capita in 8 MENA countries is a stationary process. This study suggests that it is possible to design consistent energy policies based on econometric models and forecasts of energy consumption in those 8 MENA countries.

**Keywords:** Energy Consumption; Unit Root; LM test; MENA

**JEL Classifications:** E21; Q43

### **1. Introduction**

The interest on the stationarity of energy consumption and production in the literature has expanded significantly in the last decade (see Chen and Lee, 2007; Narayan and Smyth, 2007; Hsu et al, 2008; Maslyuk and Smyth, 2008; Lean and Smyth, 2009; Gil-Alana, Loomis and Payne, 2010; Narayan et al, 2010; Barros et al, 2011; Apergis and Tsoumas, 2011; Barros et al, 2012; Apergis and Tsoumas, 2012). Many studies have focused on energy consumption and fossil fuels (*e.g.* coal, petroleum, natural gas) such as Apergis et al (2010a), Apergis et al (2010b), Apergis and Payne (2010). Therefore, testing the stationarity of energy variables (energy, electricity, oil, coal, petroleum, natural gas etc.) created new research possibilities in the field of energy economics.

Understanding the stationary process of energy consumption can have important implications on energy policies in MENA countries. Recently, researchers have looked at the stationarity of the energy consumption per capita by using different unit root tests. The main objective of this literature has been to examine whether shocks to energy consumption/production have permanent or temporary effects. In conjunction with this literature, this paper focuses on the shocks to energy consumption and tests whether the energy consumption in select countries is a stationary process.

To begin with, if energy consumption follows a stationary process (*i.e.* it does not have a unit root), any shock to energy consumption will have transitory effects. On the other hand, if energy consumption is a non-stationary process (*i.e.* it has unit root), any shock to energy consumption will have permanent effects and the energy consumption will not return back to its time trend. Second, if any shock on energy consumption has a temporary effect, energy consumption will return to its time

trend and such shocks will not disturb macroeconomic policies based on forecasts. In contrast, if shocks to energy consumption have permanent effect, the determination of macroeconomic variables such as output growth is likely to be a non-stationary process as well, which makes conducting macroeconomics policies based on forecasts difficult. Therefore, the effects of energy consumption on other macroeconomic variables are crucial in giving direction to an economy. Third, the stationary process for energy consumption enables us to use the time trend of energy consumption in forecasting future demand and consumption. After any transitory shock to energy consumption, energy consumption per capita will return to its time trend and such shocks will have only temporary effects on the energy consumption. Therefore, the permanent response of electricity consumption to any shock is vital for modeling and forecasting the demand for electricity. In the case of permanent shocks, the time trend of electricity consumption is not relevant to forecasting the future consumption (Chen and Lee, 2007; Mishra et al. 2009; Apergis et al, 2010a; Apergis et al, 2010b; Apergis and Payne, 2010; Hasanov and Telatar, 2011; Smyth, 2013; Kula et al., 2012; Lean and Smyth, 2013). The article is organized as follows: In section 2, we are going to overview the literature of energy consumption testing. The section 3 examines the data, explains the methodology and the empirical results. And finally in section 4, we are going to conclude this study.

## 2. Existing Literature

Beginning with Masih and Masih (1996), the unit root characteristics of energy consumption have been studied by many researchers over the last decade. There is a growing body of empirical research on the stationarity of the energy consumption. Studies most often test for unit root of the energy consumption process. Since existence of unit root in the energy consumption process has important implications, researchers turn their attention more towards testing the stationarity using various econometric methods. Unfortunately, most of these recent studies are inconclusive.

This study is the first attempt to examine the stationarity of energy consumption for 15 MENA countries using LM unit root test with structural breaks covering the period from 1971 to 2010. This paper presented an application of Lee and Strazicich's (2003) method, which includes both intercept-no trend and intercept-trend for unit root, to the energy consumption of 15 MENA countries. There are many studies in the literature, such as Chen and Lee (2007), Mishra et al. (2009), Apergis et al. (2010a, 2010b), that focus on the energy consumption as a stationary process.

**Table 1.** Comparison of previous empirical results from about integration of energy consumption

Author(s)	Country - Period	Frequency	Method(s)	Result(s)
Masih and Masih (1996)	India, Pakistan, Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia and the Philippines 1955-1990	Annual	Conventional Unit Root Tests (ADF-PP)	Non-Stationary
Cheng and Lai (1997)	Taiwan - 1955-1993	Annual	Phillips-Perron Tests	Non-Stationary
Chan and Lee (1997)	China - 1953-1994	Annual	ADF Test	Non-Stationary
Asafu-Adjaye (2000)	India- Indonesia, Philippines and Thailand 1971-1995	Annual	ADF and PP Tests	Non-Stationary
Soytas and Sari (2003)	10 Emerging Markets G7 Countries (except China) - 1950-1994	Annual	DF, ADF and PP Tests	Non-Stationary
Altinay and Karagol (2004)	Turkey - 1950-2000	Annual	Zivot-Andrews Test	Stationary
Chen and Lee (2007)	Seven Regions (104 Countries) 1971-2002	Annual	Panel Unit Root with Structural Breaks (Carrion-i Silvestre)	Stationary
Narayan and Smyth (2007)	182 Countries 1979-2000	Annual	Univariate and Panel Unit Root Tests	Stationary
Hsu et al. (2008)	Five Regions 1971-2003 (84 Countries)	Annual	Panel Unit Root (SURADF)	Non-Stationary

Narayan et al. (2008)	60 Countries - 1971-2003	Annual	Panel Unit Root without Structural Breaks and with Structural Breaks	Mixed Results and Stationary
Mishra et al. (2009)	13 Pacific Island Countries 1980-2005	Annual	Panel Unit Root with Structural Breaks (Carrion-i Silvestre)	Stationary of 60% of the sample
Lean and Smyth (2009)	US (Five Sectors) 1973:1-2008:7	Monthly	Univariate and Multivariate LM Tests	Mixed Results
Narayan et al. (2010)	Six States in Australia 1973-2007	Annual	Lee-Strazicich Univariate Unit Root with Two- Break	Stationary
Apergis et al. (2010a)	US (50 States) - 1980-2007	Annual	Panel Unit Root with Structural Breaks	Stationary
Apergis et al. (2010b)	US (50 States) - 1982-2007	Annual	Panel Unit Root with Structural Breaks	Stationary
Apergis and Payne (2010)	US (50 States) - 1960-2007	Annual	Panel Unit Root with Structural Breaks	Stationary
Hasanov and Telatar (2011)	178 Countries - 1980-2006	Annual	Conventional Unit Root Tests and New Developed Tests with Structural Breaks	Stationary (mostly)
Ozturk and Aslan (2011)	Turkey (Seven Sectors) - 1970-2006	Annual	LM Tests	Stationary

### 3. Data, Methodology, and Results

Annual data on energy consumption (kg of oil equivalent per capita) is taken from the International Monetary Fund's *World Economic Outlook* database. The stationarity of energy consumption is examined for a sample of fifteen MENA countries, namely Algeria, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Turkey. These countries are selected based on the availability of data for the period 1971-2010.

We use univariate unit root tests with structural breaks as proposed by Lee and Strazicich (2003) based on the *Lagrangian Multiplier* (LM) model. This model is based on the findings of Schmidt and Phillips (1992). In addition, the determination of the correct number of breaks is possible with the two-break unit root test suggested by Lee and Strazicich (2003) as well as the one-break test proposed by Lee and Strazicich (2004). Two-break minimum LM test determines the two breaks in level and trend endogenously. The endogenous two-break LM unit root test allows for breaks under both the null and the alternative hypothesis. LM test statistics have two models. First, model A, allows for a change in the level, and second, model C, allows for a change in both the level and the trend. LM test statistic is defined as follows:

$$y_t = \delta'Z_t + x_t, \quad x_t = \beta e_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

where  $Z_t$  is a vector of exogenous variables and  $\varepsilon_t \square iidN(0, \sigma^2)$ . Model C in the LS test statistics take into account the changes in both trend and level. These changes are defined  $Z_t = [1, t, D_{1t}, D_{2t}, DT_{1t}, DT_{2t}]'$  where  $DT_{jt} = t - TB_j$  for  $t \geq TB_j + 1, j = 1, 2$  and 0 otherwise. Besides, LM test statistics is determined by regression as follows:

$$\Delta y_t = \delta' \Delta Z_t + \phi \tilde{S}_{t-1} + u_t \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{S}_t = y_t - \tilde{\psi}_x - Z_t \tilde{\delta}$ ,  $t = 2, \dots, T$  are coefficient vectors received from the regression of  $\Delta y_t$  on  $\Delta Z_t$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_x$  is provided by  $y_1 - Z_1 \tilde{\delta}$ . Also,  $y_1$  and  $Z_1$  indicate the first observation of  $y_t$  and  $Z_t$ , respectively. The null hypothesis is defined as  $\phi = 0$  (i.e. there is unit root). The LM unit root test with two-break uses the break points  $\lambda_1 = TB_1 / T, \lambda_2 = TB_2 / T$ . LM unit root tests can determine the break points endogenously by means of a grid search indicated below.

$$LM_{\tau} = \inf_{\lambda} \tilde{\tau}(\lambda) \tag{3}$$

Table 2 shows the results of the unit root tests. The null hypothesis that there is unit root is rejected in 8 countries, namely Egypt, Israel, Lebanon, Libya, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Tunisia. For the rest of the countries (*i.e.* Algeria, Bahrain, Iran, Jordan, Morocco, UAE, and Turkey) we do not reject the null hypothesis. These results show that any shock to energy consumption per capita has transitory effects for 8 countries, meaning that energy consumption will return to its time trend. In these countries, energy consumption is stationary around structural breaks within intercept and slope of the trend. Two structural breaks in the time series are different across countries. For example, several structural breaks are due to events such as wars in Iran, Lebanon, Israel and Libya from 1982 to 1987, the earthquake in Turkey in 1999, the War of Gulf in Saudi Arabia, Syria and Egypt in 1990-1991, and the conflicts in the Middle East (1970-2010).

**Table 2.** LM unit root with two-break test results for energy consumption per capita

Countries	<i>k</i>	TB1	TB2	<i>t</i>	Countries	<i>k</i>	TB1	TB2	<i>t</i>
Algeria	6	1986	1993	-3.06	Morocco	6	2001	2006	-2.95
Bahrain	3	1990	2006	-3.49	Qatar	7	2004	2006	-4.71***
Egypt	8	2000	2003	-3.97**	Saudi Arabia	2	1986	1989	-4.03**
Iran	0	1982	2004	-2.83	Syria	7	1983	1988	-5.03***
Israel	0	1985	1991	-5.81***	Tunisia	0	1985	1988	-5.46***
Jordan	2	1989	2005	-2.37	Turkey	3	1999	2005	-3.27
Lebanon	8	1981	1992	-4.08**	UAE	7	1981	1996	-2.57
Libya	8	1983	1987	-4.22**					

Notes: *k* is the optimal number of lagged differences in the unit root to correct the serial correlation. TB1 and TB2 indicate the date with two breaks and *t* represents the *t*-statistics. The 1%, 5% and 10% critical values are -4.545, -3.842, and -3.504, respectively. \*\*\*, \*\* and \* indicate significance at 1, 5 and 10% levels, respectively.

#### 4. Conclusion

The energy literature discusses the distinction between permanent and temporary shocks to energy consumption per capita in order to model energy demand and forecast future trends in energy consumption. The repercussions of structural breaks on the energy consumption are crucial for the success of energy policies. Sustainable energy policies rely heavily on the forecasts of energy demand. In this regard, determining whether shocks to energy demand are permanent or transitory is important for setting feasible goals for sustainable energy policies. If energy consumption per capita is a stationary process, shocks to energy consumption will have temporary effects and the demand will return back to its mean value. The stationarity of the energy consumption makes using forecasts for conducting energy policies possible again. If shocks to energy consumption have permanent effects, such shocks will be transmitted to the other sectors of an economy, making energy policies based on forecasts invalid. Therefore, testing whether the energy consumption has a unit root is essential to any effective and sustainable energy policy.

In this study, we examined the stationarity of energy consumption per capita for 15 MENA countries over the period from 1971 to 2010. The method applied, which was developed by Lee and Strazicich (2003), is an LM unit root test with two-breaks. Test results show that the null hypothesis that there is unit root is rejected in 8 MENA countries, namely Egypt, Israel, Lebanon, Libya, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Tunisia at the 5% significance; whereas it is not rejected in 7 other MENA countries that are Algeria, Bahrain, Iran, Jordan, Morocco, UAE, and Turkey. This result shows that any shocks to energy consumption per capita have transitory effects for 8 MENA countries, meaning that energy consumption will return to its time trend.

## References

- Agnolucci, P., Venn, A. (2011), *Industrial Energy Intensities in the UK: Is There a Deterministic or Stochastic Difference among Sectors*, *Applied Economics*, 43(12), 1447–1462.
- Altinay, G., Karagol, E. (2005), *Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: Evidence from Turkey*, *Energy Economics*, 27(6), 849–856.
- Apergis, N., Payne J.E. (2010), *Structural Breaks and Petroleum Consumption in US States: Are Shocks Transitory or Permanent?* *Energy Policy*, 38(10), 6375–6378.
- Apergis, N., Loomis, D., Payne, J.E. (2010a), *Are Shocks to Natural Gas Consumption Temporary or Permanent? Evidence from a Panel of US States*, *Energy Policy*, 38(8), 4734–4736.
- Apergis, N., Loomis, D., Payne, J.E. (2010b), *Are Fluctuations in Coal Consumption Transitory or Permanent? Evidence from a Panel of US States*, *Applied Energy*, 87(7), 2424–2426.
- Apergis, N., Tsoumas, C. (2011), *Integration Properties of Disaggregated Solar, Geothermal and Biomass Energy Consumption in the US*, *Energy Policy*, 39(9), 5474–5479.
- Apergis, N., Tsoumas, C. (2012), *Long Memory and Disaggregated Energy Consumption: Evidence from Fossils, Coal and Electricity Retail in the US*, *Energy Economics*, 34(4), 1082–1087.
- Asafu-Adjaye, J. (2000), *The Relationship Between Energy Consumption, Energy Prices and Economic Growth: Time Series Evidence from Asian Developing Countries*, *Energy Economics*, 22(6), 615–625.
- Barros, C.P., Gil-Alana, L.A., Payne, J.E. (2011), *An Analysis of Oil Production by OPEC Countries: Persistence, Breaks, and Outliers*, *Energy Policy*, 39(1), 442–453.
- Barros, C.P., Gil-Alana, L.A., Payne, J.E. (2012), *Evidence of Long Memory Behavior in US Renewable Energy Consumption*, *Energy Policy*, 41(2), 822–826.
- Chan, H.L., Lee, S.K. (1997), *Modelling and Forecasting the Demand for Coal in China*, *Energy Economics*, 19(3), 271–287.
- Chen, P-F., Lee, C-C. (2007), *Is Energy Consumption Per Capita Broken Stationary? New Evidence from Regional-Based Panels*, *Energy Policy*, 35(6), 3526–3540.
- Cheng, B.S., Lai, T.W. (1997), *An Investigation of Cointegration and Causality Between Energy Consumption and Economic Activity in Taiwan*, *Energy Economics*, 19(4), 435–444.
- Gil-Alana, L.A., Loomis, D., Payne, J.E. (2010), *Does Energy Consumption by the US Electric Power Sector Exhibit Long Memory Behavior?* *Energy Policy*, 38(11), 7512–7518.
- Hasanov, M., Telatar, E. (2011), *A Re-examination of Stationarity of Energy Consumption: Evidence from New Unit Root Tests*, *Energy Policy*, 39(12), 7726–7738.
- Hsu, Y.C., Lee, C.C., Lee, C.C. (2008), *Revisited: Are Shocks to Energy Consumption Permanent or Temporary? New Evidence from a Panel SURADF Approach*, *Energy Economics*, 30(5), 2314–2330.
- Kula, F., Aslan, A., Ozturk, I. (2012), *Is Per Capita Electricity Consumption Stationary? Time Series Evidence from OECD Countries*. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Review*, 16, 501–503.
- Lee, J., Strazicich, M.C. (2003), *Minimum LM Unit Root Test with Two Structural Breaks*, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85(4), 1082–1089.
- Lee, J., Strazicich, M.C. (2004), *Minimum LM Unit Root Test with One Structural Break*, *Appalachian State University Working Paper Series, Department of Economics*.
- Lean, H.H., Smyth, R. (2009), *Long Memory in US Disaggregated Petroleum Consumption: Evidence from Univariate and Multivariate LM Tests for Fractional Integration*, *Energy Policy*, 37(8), 3205–3211.
- Lean, H.H., Smyth, R. (2013), *Are Fluctuations in US Production of Renewable Energy Permanent or Transitory?* *Applied Energy*, 101(C), 483–488.
- Masih, A.M.M., Masih, R. (1996), *Energy Consumption, Real Income and Temporal Causality: Results from a Multi-Country Study based on Cointegration and Error-Correction Modelling Techniques*, *Energy Economics*, 18(3), 165–183.
- Maslyuk, S., Smyth, R. (2008), *Unit Root Properties of Crude Oil Spot and Futures Prices*, *Energy Policy*, 36(7), 2591–2600.
- Mishra, V., Sharma, S., Smyth, R. (2009), *Are Fluctuations in Energy Consumption Per Capita Transitory? Evidence from a Panel of Pacific Island Countries*, *Energy Policy*, 37(6), 2318–2326.

- Narayan, P.K., Smyth, R. (2007), *Are Shocks to Energy Consumption Permanent or Temporary? Evidence from 182 Countries*, *Energy Policy*, 35(1), 333–341.
- Narayan, P.K., Narayan, S., Smyth, R. (2008), *Are Oil Shocks Permanent or Temporary? Panel Data Evidence from Crude Oil and NGL Production in 60 Countries*, *Energy Economics*, 30(3), 919–936.
- Narayan, P.K., Narayan, S., Popp, S. (2010), *Energy Consumption at the State Level: The Unit Root Null Hypothesis from Australia*, *Applied Energy*, 87(6), 1953–1962.
- Ozturk, I., Aslan, A. (2011), *Are Fluctuations in Energy Consumption Per Capita Transitory? Evidence from Turkey*, *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, 29(2), 161–167.
- Soytas, U., Sari, R. (2003), *Energy Consumption and GDP: Causality Relationship in G–7 Countries and Emerging Markets*, *Energy Economics*, 25(1), 33–37.
- Smyth, R. (2013), *Are fluctuations in Energy Variables Permanent or Transitory? A Survey of the Literature on the Integration Properties of Energy Consumption and Production*, *Applied Energy*, 104, 371–378.